

Outline

Despite the best engineering practices that rely on reliability, human factors, and continuous quality improvement, severe accidents involving complex technological systems occur regularly: bridges collapse, chemical plants catch fire and explode, airplanes crash, and nuclear reactors melt down. The most comprehensive approach to understanding the causes of such disasters is based on a systems-thinking perspective that highlights the limits of traditional event-chain causation models. The course focuses on this approach using a group project but also provides an overview of various sociological theories that have attempted to elucidate the organizational and psychological factors underlying the failure of sociotechnical systems.

Syllabus

<b>TOPIC</b>
<p><b>Accidents as Sociotechnical Events</b></p> <p>Accidents cannot be considered strictly technical events and must be viewed within a social context. Review of traditional approaches to accident analysis.</p>
<p><b>Systems Thinking</b></p> <p>Shortcomings of chain-of-events accident causal analyses. The Rasmussen (AcciMap) “soft” systems engineering approach for understanding and preventing accidents.</p> <p><i>Case Study 1:</i> The ferry capsizing accident at Zeebrugge, Belgium.</p>
<p><b>Systems-Theoretic Accident Modeling and Processes (STAMP)</b></p> <p>A significant enhancement of the Rasmussen systems methodology based on control theory and system dynamics modeling was formulated by Nancy Leveson (MIT).</p>
<p><b>Causal Analysis based on Systems Theory (CAST)</b></p> <p>The methodology used to perform a STAMP-based analysis of an accident with the goal of identifying the related accident causal factors.</p> <p><i>Case Study 2:</i> The Walkerton (Ontario) water contamination disaster.</p>
<p><b>Joint Cognitive System (JCS)</b></p> <p>The human and machine are considered together as a basic construct, and the focus is on what the JCS does, <i>i. e.</i>, its functions, and not on how it does it.</p>
<p><b>Functional Resonance Accident Model (FRAM)</b></p> <p>In FRAM, the systemic accident model describes the characteristic performance of the JCS rather than focusing on specific cause-and-effect mechanisms. It achieves this by extending the concept of stochastic resonance to normal system functions.</p> <p><i>Case Study 3:</i> The RNAV flight area navigation for aircraft operation.</p>

<p><b>Turner’s Man-Made Disasters</b></p> <p>Disasters arise from error accumulation resulting from a lack of information and the misinterpretation of warning signals by organizations managing technical systems.</p> <p><i>Case Study 4:</i> Israeli intelligence failure in the 1973 October war.</p>
<p><b>Psychology of Decision-Making</b></p> <p>Our mental machinery underlies strategic surprise, human error, and faulty decision-making. This topic discusses how people process information to judge incomplete and ambiguous information.</p>
<p><b>Normal Accident Theory (NAT)</b></p> <p>This theory, formulated by Charles Perrow (Yale), claims that accidents in interactively complex and tightly coupled technological systems are inevitable.</p> <p><i>Case Study 5:</i> Three Mile Island nuclear power reactor accident.</p>
<p><b>High Reliability Organizations (HRO)</b></p> <p>A discussion of high-risk organizations that succeed in avoiding accidents.</p> <p><i>Case Study 6:</i> Aircraft carrier flight operations.</p>
<p><b>Mindfulness in Organizations</b></p> <p>An examination of the processes used by HROs to promote anticipation and resilience, thus achieving operational reliability. Includes a discussion of organizational culture.</p> <p><i>Case Study 7:</i> Refueling at the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.</p>
<p><b>Critique of NAT and HRO Frameworks</b></p> <p>Studies supporting and rejecting Normal Accident Theory. Limitations of High Reliability Organizations.</p>

Textbook

N.G. Leveson, *Engineering a Safer World: Systems Thinking Applied to Safety*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2001.

E. Hollnagel, *FRAM: the Functional Resonance Analysis Method – Modelling Complex Socio-Technical Systems*, Ashgate, Burlington, VT, 2012.

(Both monographs are available in digital form through the U of T Library system.)

References

The following books provide a sociological perspective of disaster causation and risk management:

- [1] C. Perrow, *Normal Accidents: Living with High-Risk Technologies*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999.

[2] K.E. Weick and K.M. Sutcliffe, *Managing the Unexpected: Resilient Performance in an Age of Uncertainty*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 2007.

Other reading material consisting of journal articles covering various topics will be made available during the course.

### Evaluation

Term paper	40%
Team project presentation and report	60%

### Team Project

The project will consist of an analysis by competing teams of the 1987 Zeebrugge car ferry disaster using the STAMP or FRAM accident causation models.

### Prerequisites

English-language proficiency, including writing and communication skills, is required. The course is aimed at graduate students enrolled in the ELITE Program but is open to other disciplines.

### Schedule and Important Dates

Sessions: Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday 5 – 7 pm MY370

Duration: Monday, May 1 – Thursday, June 15

Drop: Friday, May 26

### Instructor

(Dr.) Julian Lebenhaft, P.Eng. julian.lebenhaft@utoronto.ca